CRAIG CARPENITO
United States Attorney
By: MARK C. ORLOWSKI
Assistant U.S. Attorney
970 Broad Street, Suite 700
Newark, New Jersey 07102
(973) 645-2760
Attorney for the Plaintiff,
United States of America

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, :

Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION NO.

v.

:

RAINBOW FOOD GROUP, INC.,

and ROBERT KALKAN,

:

Defendants. :

COMPLAINT FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION

Plaintiff, United States of America, by and through its attorneys, Craig Carpenito, United States Attorney for the District of New Jersey, and Mark C. Orlowski, Assistant United States Attorney (appearing), files this Complaint and alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This is a civil action brought by plaintiff, the United States of America, against defendants, Rainbow Food Group, Inc. ("Rainbow"), and Robert Kalkan ("Kalkan") (collectively, "Defendants"), seeking permanent injunctive relief for

violations of the Federal Meat Inspection Act ("FMIA"), 21 U.S.C. § 601 <u>et seq.</u> and the Poultry Products Inspection Act ("PPIA"), 21 U.S.C. § 451 <u>et seq.</u>

2. Defendants have violated the FMIA and PPIA by offering for sale within New Jersey, which is a designated state under the FMIA and PPIA, uninspected meat and poultry products for human consumption that were required to be inspected. Further, Defendants violated the PPIA by failing to maintain required records.

PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff is the United States of America.
- 4. Defendant Rainbow Food Group, Inc. ("Rainbow") is an incorporated wholesale commissary located at 3 South Grey Rock Avenue, Little Falls, New Jersey 07424.
 - 5. Defendant Robert Kalkan ("Kalkan") is the President of Rainbow.
- 6. Kalkan and his business partners have full operational control and knowledge of all of Rainbow's business transactions.
- 7. Defendants are and have been engaging in the sale of meat and poultry products within State of New Jersey.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 8. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 21 U.S.C. §§ 467c and 674, and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337, and 1345.
- 9. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because the actions giving rise to this action occurred in the District of New Jersey, and because Defendants transact business within this District.

REGULATORY SCHEME

- 10. Pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 454(c)(1) and 9 C.F.R. § 381.221 (see also 40 Fed. Reg. 23453-54 (May 30, 1975)), New Jersey has been designated as a state to which the requirements of sections 1 through 4, 6 through 10, and 12 through 22 of the Poultry Products Inspection Act ("PPIA"), 21 U.S.C. § 451 et seq., apply to operations and transactions wholly within the state.
- 11. Pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 661(c)(1) and 9 C.F.R. § 331.2, New Jersey has been designated as a state to which the provisions of subchapters I and IV of the Federal Meat Inspection Act ("FMIA"), 21 U.S.C. § 601 et seq., apply to operations and transactions wholly within the state.
- 12. As the FMIA and PPIA provide, meat, meat food products, poultry, and poultry products are an important source of the nation's total supply of food. It is essential in the public interest that the health and welfare of consumers be protected by assuring that these foods distributed to consumers are wholesome, not adulterated, and properly marked, labeled, and packaged. *See* 21 U.S.C. § 451; 21 U.S.C. § 602.
- 13. The FMIA and PPIA prohibit any person, firm, or corporation from selling, transporting, offering for sale or transportation, or receiving for transportation, in commerce, or within a designated state, any meat or meat food product, FMIA, 21 U.S.C. § 610(c)(2) or poultry or poultry product, PPIA, 21 U.S.C. § 458(a)(2)(B), required to be inspected by the United States Department of Agriculture ("USDA"), unless they have been so inspected and passed inspection.

14. Additionally, the FMIA requires any persons, firms, or corporations that engage in the business of buying and selling (as meat broker, wholesalers or otherwise), transporting in commerce, or storing in or for commerce, or importing, any parts or products of carcasses of any cattle, sheep, swine, goats, horses, mules, or other equines, to keep such records that will fully and correctly disclose all transactions involved in their business. *See* 21 U.S.C. § 642(a)(meat products); *see also* 21 U.S.C. § 460(b)(similar provision in the PPIA for poultry products). The records must include information of each transaction including, among other things, the name and address of the buyer of the poultry or meat food products. *See also* 9 C.F.R. § 381.175(b)(1)(poultry); *see also* 9 C.F.R. § 320.1(b)(1)(meat).

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Violations of the FMIA and PPIA – Uninspected Meat and Poultry Products

- 15. The allegations in paragraphs 1 to 14 are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 16. On or about October 1, 2018 and December 11, 2018, and on other dates, defendants violated the FMIA and PPIA by offering for sale or transportation in commerce meat, meat products, poultry or poultry products required to be inspected and under the FMIA and PPIA, without the products first passing federal inspection.
- 17. On or about October 1, 2018, Defendants prepared and sold within the designated State of New Jersey to North Jersey Friendship House, a wholesale customer, approximately 40 pounds of chicken empanadas that were required to be

inspected under the PPIA and were not so inspected and passed (the "October 1, 2018 violation").

- 18. On or about December 11, 2018, Defendants prepared and offered for sale within the designated State of New Jersey to North Jersey Friendship House, a wholesale customer, approximately 84 pounds of chicken and beef empanadas that were required to be inspected under the PPIA and FMIA and were not so inspected and passed (the "December 11, 2018 empanada violation").
- 19. On or about December 11, 2018, Defendants prepared and sold within the designated State of New Jersey to Nick's Catering, a wholesale lunch truck customer, approximately 3 pounds of chicken salads that were required to be inspected under the PPIA and were not so inspected and passed (the "December 11, 2018 chicken salad violation").
- 20. On or about December 11, 2018, Defendants prepared and sold within the designated State of New Jersey to TKMD Catering, a food truck operator, approximately 2 pounds of bacon and egg platters that were required to be inspected under the FMIA and were not so inspected and passed (the "December 11, 2018 bacon platter violation").
- 21. Prior to the October and December, 2018, visits by USDA investigators, Defendants had received three Notices of Warning from the USDA regarding violations of the PPIA and FMIA and several visits from USDA investigators during which the investigators explained the requirements of the PPIA and FMIA.

- 22. Defendants received Notices of Warning dated April 17, 2017,
 December 4, 2017, and May 17, 2018, for violating the FMIA and PPIA by selling,
 transporting, or offering for sale or transportation, non-federally inspected meat,
 meat food products, poultry and poultry products for human consumption.
 - 23. Defendants are familiar with the requirements of the FMIA and PPIA.
- 24. Additionally, on November 13, 2017, Kalkan stated to federal investigators that Rainbow does not operate under a Grant of Federal Inspection; acknowledged to federal investigators that Rainbow supplies uninspected meat and poultry products daily to approximately 60 lunch trucks who resell those products to the public; admitted that Rainbow has been engaged in that practice for approximately 2 years and agreed to discontinue preparing and selling food products that require USDA inspection until he received a grant of federal inspection.
- 25. Kalkan has also acknowledged repeated and knowing violations of the FMIA and PPIA in conjunction with Rainbow's business operations.
- 26. By issuing Notices of Warning, the USDA has extended to the Defendants numerous opportunities to voluntarily comply with the FMIA and PPIA in an effort to avoid utilizing the Court's resources.
- 27. Despite continued warnings and their acknowledgement of their repeated violations of the FMIA and PPIA, Defendants nevertheless continue to violate the FMIA and PPIA by selling, transporting, or offering for sale or transport, uninspected meat and poultry products.

- 28. The United States seeks the Court's assistance with enforcing the FMIA and PPIA against Defendants based on their knowing and repeated violations of the FMIA and PPIA.
- 29. The United States thus seeks to have Defendants enjoined, restrained, and prohibited from further violations of the FMIA and PPIA through injunctive relief to prevent Defendants' continued sale or transport, or offer for sale or transport, of uninspected meat and poultry products for human consumption with the State of New Jersey.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION PPIA Recordkeeping Violation

- 30. The allegations in paragraphs 1 through 29 are re-alleged and incorporated by reference as though set forth fully herein.
- 31. On or about January 23, 2019, when USDA investigators were investigating the December 11, 2018 chicken salad violation, Rainbow was not able to provide the USDA Investigator records of the total number of chicken salads it prepared for its wholesale customers and to whom it sold the chicken salads and, therefore, violated the PPIA by failing to maintain records as required by 9 C.F.R. § 381.175(b) and 21 U.S.C. § 460(b).
- 32. Because Defendants have failed to keep proper records of the sale of poultry products, and in order to prevent Defendants from the repeated failure to maintain records relating to the sale of meat and/or poultry products the United States seeks the Court's intervention to enjoin, restrain, and prohibit Defendants from committing further violations of the PPIA.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, plaintiff the United States of America requests that judgment be entered in its favor and against the Defendants, and seeks entry of an order granting the following relief, along with such further relief as the Court may deem just and proper:

- (a) a permanent injunction requiring and compelling Defendants to comply in all pertinent particulars with the FMIA and PPIA, as well as the regulations promulgated thereunder;
- (b) a permanent injunction enjoining and restraining Defendants and their employees, agents, representatives, successors, and assigns, and any and all persons in active concert or participation with any or all of them, from directly or indirectly selling, transporting, offering for sale or transportation, or receiving for transportation, in commerce, or within the designated State of New Jersey, any meat, or meat food product, poultry, or poultry product required to be inspected and passed by the USDA, unless such products are so inspected and passed by the USDA;
- (c) a permanent injunction enjoining and restraining Defendants and their employees, agents, representatives, successors, and assigns, and any and all persons in active concert or participation with any or all of them, from directly or indirectly selling, transporting, offering for sale or transportation, or receiving for transportation, in commerce, or within the designated State of New Jersey, any poultry or poultry product required to be inspected, without preparing and maintaining the records required by the PPIA; and

(d) costs awarded to plaintiff the United States of America for bringing this action, including reasonable attorneys' fees, disbursements, and such further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

CRAIG CARPENITO United States Attorney

s/ Mark C. OrlowskiBy: MARK C. ORLOWSKIAssistant U.S. Attorney

Dated: April 17, 2020

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil do	cket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCT	TONS ON NEXT PAGE O	F THIS FO						
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS United States of America				DEFENDANTS Painbow Food Group, Inc.: Pobert Kalkan					
Officed States of Afficial				Rainbow Food Group, Inc.; Robert Kalkan					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) Assistant U.S. Attorney Mark C. Orlowski, U.S. Attorney's Office, District of New Jersey, 970 Broad Street, Suite 700, Newark, N.J. 07102 (973) 645-2760				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Passaic (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known) John J. Veteri, Jr., Esq., 3 University Plaza, Suite 207, Hackensack, New Jersey 07601					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place on "Y" in Or	ne Bar Only)	III. C	 TIZENSHIP OF F	PRINCIPA	L PARTIES	Place an "X" in t	One Box (or Plaintiff
The state of the s				(For Diversity Cases Only)		,	and One Box fo	r Defenda	ant)
▼ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		Citiz		PTF DEF	Incorporated or Pri		PTF	DEF 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citiz	een of Another State	2 0 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		5	J 5
			17000000	gen or Subject of a Coreign Country		Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6 ———
IV. NATURE OF SUIT						here for: Nature o	f Suit Code De		
CONTRACT ☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	RTS PERSONAL INJUR		ORFEITURE/PENALTY 25 Drug Related Seizure		al 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False Cla		E.S
 □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise 	□ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	or 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 7 Product Liability PERSONER PETITION		LABOR LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation	□ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 ■ PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application □ 840 Trademark ■ SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) ■ FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff		□ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act		
□ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	□ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	☐ 463 Alien Detainee ☐ 510 Motions to Vacar Sentence ☐ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Penalty Other:	her O	IMMIGRATION 162 Naturalization Application Actions	or D □ 871 IRS- 26 U	or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609		□ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	emoved from	Remanded from Appellate Court	Re	opened Anot (speci	1.5.1	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer		Multidi Litigati Direct F	ion -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON Brief description of c Suit for permane	n 451; 21 U.S.Č. so ause: nt injunction to enf	orce Po	oultry Products Inspe	ection Act a	nd Federal Me			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	☐ CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	S IS A CLASS ACTIO 23, F.R.Cv.P.	ON	DEMAND \$		CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND		n compla ⊠No	
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S) (See instructions):	IUDGE	5253300		DOCY	ET MIMBER			
		JUDGE SIGNATURE OF A	TOPE	VALUEDO	DOCK	ET NUMBER _			
04/17/2020 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF	E C	THE .					
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFF	,	JUDGE		MAG. JUI	DGE		